arXiv:1411.7473v2 [math-ph] 13 Jun 2016

Lipschitz continuity and monotone decreasingness of the solution to the BCS gap equation for superconductivity

Shuji Watanabe
Division of Mathematical Sciences
Graduate School of Engineering, Gunma University
4-2 Aramaki-machi, Maebashi 371-8510, Japan
Email: shuwatanabe@gunma-u.ac.jp

Ken Kuriyama
Yamaguchi University
2-16-1 Tokiwadai, Ube 755-8611, Japan
Email: kuriyama@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

Abstract

In the preceding paper [14], it is shown that the solution to the BCS gap equation for superconductivity is continuous with respect to both the temperature and the energy under the restriction that the temperature is very small. Without this restriction, we show in this paper that the solution is continuous with respect to both the temperature and the energy, and that the solution is Lipschitz continuous and monotonically decreasing with respect to the temperature.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010. 45G10, 47H10, 47N50, 82D55.

Keywords. Lipschitz continuity, monotone decreasingness, temperature, solution to the BCS gap equation, nonlinear integral equation.

1 Introduction and main result

In this paper we study the temperature dependence of the nonzero solution to the BCS gap equation [2, 4] for superconductivity:

$$u(T,\,x)=\int_0^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{U(x,\,\xi)\,u(T,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\,d\xi, \tag{1.1}$$

where the solution u is a function of the absolute temperature $T\geq 0$ and the energy x ($0\leq x\leq \hbar\omega_D$), and the constant $\omega_D>0$ (resp. $\hbar$) stands for the Debye angular frequency (resp. Planck's constant divided by 2π). The potential U satisfies $U(x,\,\xi)>0$ at all $(x,\,\xi)\in[0,\,\hbar\omega_D]^2$. In this connection, see Kuzemsky [8, 9] for interdisciplinary reviews.

The integral with respect to the energy ξ in (1.1) is sometimes replaced by the integral with respect to the wave vector of an electron over the three dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$. The existence and uniqueness of the solution to the BCS gap equation were established for $T=0$ by Odeh [11], and Billard and Fano [3], and for $T\geq 0$ by Vansevenant [12]. Bach, Lieb and Solovej [1] studied the gap equation in the Hubbard model for a constant potential and showed

that the solution to this equation is strictly decreasing with respect to the temperature. Recently, Frank, Hainzl, Naboko and Seiringer [5] studied the asymptotic behavior of the transition temperature (the critical temperature) at weak coupling. Hainzl, Hamza, Seiringer and Solovej [6] proved that the existence of a positive solution to the BCS gap equation is equivalent to the existence of a negative eigenvalue of a certain linear operator, and showed the existence of a transition temperature. Hainzl and Seiringer [7] obtained upper and lower bounds on the transition temperature and the energy gap for the BCS gap equation.

The existence and uniqueness of the solution were established for each fixed temperature T in the previous literature, and so the temperature dependence of the solution is not covered except for the work by Bach, Lieb and Solovej [1]. As is well known, studying the temperature dependence of the solution to the BCS gap equation is very important in condensed matter physics. This is because studying the temperature dependence of the solution by dealing with the thermodynamical potential leads to a mathematical proof of the statement that in the BCS model the transition to a superconducting state is a second-order phase transition. So it is highly desirable to discuss the temperature dependence of the nonzero solution to the BCS gap equation (1.1).

To this end we define a nonlinear integral operator A by

$$Au(T,\,x) = \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\,\xi)\,u(T,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\,d\xi. \tag{1.2}$$

Here the right side of this equality is exactly the right side of the BCS gap equation (1.1). Our nonlinear integral operator A is defined on the sets V_T, V and W specified later. Since the solution to the BCS gap equation is a fixed point of the operator A , we apply fixed point theorems to the operator A and study the temperature dependence of the nonzero solution to the BCS gap equation (1.1).

Let $U_1 > 0$ is a positive constant and set $U(x,\,\xi) = U_1$ at all $(x,\,\xi) \in [0,\,\hbar\omega_D]^2$. Then the solution to the BCS gap equation depends on the temperature T only, and we denote the solution by Δ_1. In this case the BCS gap equation (1.1) is reduced to the simple gap equation [2]

$$1 = U_1 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}{2T}\,d\xi, \quad 0 \le T \le \tau_1\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Here the temperature $\tau_1 > 0$ is defined by (see [2])

$$1 = U_1 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\xi}\,\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau_1}\,d\xi.$$

See also Niwa [10] and Ziman [16]. The implicit function theorem implies the following.

Proposition 1.1 ([13, Proposition 1.2])**.** *Set*

$$\Delta = \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\sinh\frac{1}{U_1}}.$$

Then there is a unique nonnegative solution $\Delta_1 : [\,0,\,\tau_1\,] \to [0,\,\infty)$ *to the simple gap equation such that the solution* Δ_1 *is continuous and strictly decreasing with respect to the temperature on the closed interval* $[\,0,\,\tau_1\,]$*:*

$$\Delta_1(0) = \Delta > \Delta_1(T_1) > \Delta_1(T_2) > \Delta_1(\tau_1) = 0, \qquad 0 < T_1 < T_2 < \tau_1.$$

Moreover, the solution Δ_1 *is of class* C^2 *on the interval* $[\,0,\,\tau_1\,)$ *and satisfies*

$$\Delta_1'(0) = \Delta_1''(0) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{T\uparrow\tau_1} \Delta_1'(T) = -\infty.$$

Remark 1.2. We set $\Delta_1(T) = 0$ for $T > \tau_1$.

We introduce another positive constant $U_2 > 0$. Let $0 < U_1 < U_2$ and set $U(x,\,\xi) = U_2$ at all $(x,\,\xi) \in [0,\,\hbar\omega_D]^2$. Then an argument similar to that in the proposition just above implies that there is a unique nonnegative solution $\Delta_2 : [\,0,\,\tau_2\,] \to [0,\,\infty)$ to the simple gap equation

$$1 = U_2 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi, \qquad 0 \le T \le \tau_2. \tag{1.4}$$

Here, $\tau_2 > 0$ is defined by

$$1 = U_2 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\xi} \tanh \frac{\xi}{2\tau_2}\, d\xi.$$

We again set $\Delta_2(T) = 0$ for $T > \tau_2$. A straightforward calculation gives the following.

Lemma 1.3 ([13, Lemma 1.5])**.** (a) *The inequality* $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ *holds.*
(b) *If* $0 \le T < \tau_2$*, then* $\Delta_1(T) < \Delta_2(T)$*. If* $T \ge \tau_2$*, then* $\Delta_1(T) = \Delta_2(T) = 0$*.*

See figure 1. The function Δ_2 has properties similar to those of the function Δ_1.



Figure 1: The graphs of the functions Δ_1 and Δ_2 with x fixed.

We then deal with the BCS gap equation (1.1), where the potential U is not a constant but a function. We assume the following condition on U:

$$U_1 \le U(x,\,\xi) \le U_2 \quad \text{at all} \quad (x,\,\xi) \in [0,\,\hbar\omega_D]^2, \qquad U(\cdot,\,\cdot) \in C([0,\,\hbar\omega_D]^2). \tag{1.5}$$

Let $0 \le T \le \tau_2$ and fix T. We now consider the Banach space $C[0,\,\hbar\omega_D]$ consisting of continuous functions of x only, and deal with the following temperature dependent subset V_T:

$$V_T = \{u(T,\,\cdot) \in C[0,\,\hbar\omega_D] :\ \Delta_1(T) \le u(T,\,x) \le \Delta_2(T) \text{ at } x \in [0,\,\hbar\omega_D]\}\,.$$

Applying the Schauder fixed-point theorem to our operator (1.2) defined on V_T implies the following.

Theorem 1.4 ([13, Theorem 2.2]). *Assume* (1.5) *and fix* $T \in [0,\, \tau_2]$. *Then there is a unique nonnegative solution* $u_0(T,\, \cdot) \in V_T$ *to the BCS gap equation* (1.1)*:*

$$u_0(T,\, x) = \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\, \xi)\, u_0(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi, \quad x \in [0,\, \hbar\omega_D].$$

Consequently, the solution is continuous with respect to x *and varies with the temperature as follows:*

$$\Delta_1(T) \le u_0(T,\, x) \le \Delta_2(T) \quad \textit{at} \quad (T,\, x) \in [0,\, \tau_2] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D].$$

See figure 2.



Figure 2: For each T, the solution $u_0(T,\, x)$ lies between $\Delta_1(T)$ and $\Delta_2(T)$.

Let $U_0 > 0$ be a positive constant satisfying $U_0 < U_1 < U_2$. An argument similar to that in the proposition above implies that there is a unique nonnegative solution $\Delta_0 : [\,0,\, \tau_0'\,] \to [0,\, \infty)$ to the simple gap equation

$$1 = U_0 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_0(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_0(T)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi, \qquad 0 \le T \le \tau_0'.$$

Here, $\tau_0' > 0$ is defined by

$$1 = U_0 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\xi} \tanh \frac{\xi}{2\tau_0'}\, d\xi.$$

We set $\Delta_0(T) = 0$ for $T > \tau_0'$.

Remark 1.5. Let the functions Δ_l $(l = 1,\, 2)$ and Δ_0 be as above. For each function, there is its inverse. We have $\Delta_l^{-1} : [0,\, \Delta_l(0)] \to [0,\, \tau_l]$ and $\Delta_0^{-1} : [0,\, \Delta_0(0)] \to [0,\, \tau_0']$. Here,

$$\Delta_l(0) = \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\sinh \frac{1}{U_l}}, \quad \Delta_0(0) = \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\sinh \frac{1}{U_0}}$$

and $\Delta_0(0) < \Delta_1(0) < \Delta_2(0)$. See [14] for more details.

We introduce another temperature T_1. Let T_1 satisfy $0 < T_1 < \Delta_0^{-1}\left(\dfrac{\Delta_0(0)}{2}\right)$ and

$$\frac{\Delta_0(0)}{4\,\Delta_2^{-1}\left(\Delta_0(T_1)\right)} \tanh \frac{\Delta_0(0)}{4\,\Delta_2^{-1}\left(\Delta_0(T_1)\right)} > \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{4\hbar^2\omega_D^2}{\Delta_0(0)^2}\right).$$

Remark 1.6. The value of the temperature T_1 is very small by experimental values in many superconductors. See figure 3.

We consider the following subset V of the Banach space $C([0,\, T_1] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D])$ consisting of continuous functions both of the temperature T and of the energy x:

$$\begin{aligned} V \;\; = \;\; & \{u \in C([0,\, T_1] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D]) : \Delta_1(T) \le u(T,\, x) \le \Delta_2(T) \\ & \qquad at \;\; (T,\, x) \in [0,\, T_1] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D]\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Banach fixed-point theorem to our operator (1.2) defined on V implies the following.

Theorem 1.7 ([14, Theorem 1.2])**.** *Assume* (1.5)*. Let* u_0*,* T_1 *and* V *be as above. Then* $u_0 \in V$*. Consequently, the solution* u_0 *to the BCS gap equation* (1.1) *is continuous on* $[0,\, T_1] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D]$*.*

See figure 3. See also [15].



Figure 3: The solution u_0 is continuous on $[0,\, T_1] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D]$.

Let us denote by $z_0 > 0$ a unique solution to the equation $\dfrac{2}{z} = \tanh z \quad (z > 0)$. Note that z_0 is nearly equal to 2.07 and that $\dfrac{2}{z} \le \tanh z$ for $z \ge z_0$. Let $\tau_0\,(> 0)$ be a temperature satisfying

$$\Delta_1(\tau_0) = 2z_0\tau_0\,. \tag{1.6}$$

From (1.6) it follows immediately that $(0 <)\, \tau_0 < \tau_1$.

Remark 1.8. As compared with T_1, the temperature τ_0 is not very small and is nearly equal to $T_c/2$ by experimental values in many superconductors. See figure 4. Here, T_c is the transition temperature satisfying $\tau_1 \le T_c \le \tau_2$, and divides normal conductivity and superconductivity.

Let $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ and fix τ. We then deal with the following subset W of the Banach space $C([0,\, \tau] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D])$:

$$\begin{aligned} W \;\; = \;\; & \big\{u \in C([0,\, \tau] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D]) : 0 \le u(T,\, x) - u(T',\, x) \le \gamma\,(T' - T) \;\; (T < T'), \\ & \Delta_1(T) \le u(T,\, x) \le \Delta_2(T) \;\; at \;\; (T,\, x),\; (T',\, x) \in [0,\, \tau] \times [0,\, \hbar\omega_D]\big\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Here, γ is defined by (2.2) below. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.9. *Assume* (1.5). *Let* τ *and* W *be as above. Then the operator* $A : W \to W$ *has a unique fixed point* $u_0 \in W$*, i.e., there is a unique nonnegative solution* $u_0 \in W$ *to the BCS gap equation* (1.1). *Consequently, the solution* u_0 *is continuous on* $[0, \tau] \times [0, \hbar\omega_D]$*, and is Lipschitz continuous and monotonically decreasing with respect to the temperature* T*. Moreover, it satisfies* $\Delta_1(T) \le u_0(T, x) \le \Delta_2(T)$ *at* $(T, x) \in [0, \tau] \times [0, \hbar\omega_D]$.

See figure 4.



Figure 4: The solution u_0 belongs to the subset W.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.9

We prove Theorem 1.9 in a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ *and fix* τ*. Define a function* F *on* $[0, \tau]$ *by*

$$F(T) = \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}{2\tau_0}\, d\xi, \qquad T \in [0, \tau].$$

Then the function F *is continuous on* $[0, \tau]$.

Proof. Let $T \in [0, \tau]$. Note that $\dfrac{z}{\cosh^2 z} \le \tanh z \ \ (z \ge 0)$ and that $\dfrac{\tanh z}{z} \le 1 \ \ (z \ge 0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F(T+h) - F(T)| \\
\le\ & \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{\left|\Delta_1(T+h)^2 - \Delta_1(T)^2\right|}{2\,(\,\xi^2 + d\,)^{3/2}} \left\{ \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + d}}{2\tau_0} + \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + d}}{2\tau_0} \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+d}}{2\tau_0}} \right\} d\xi \\
\le\ & \left|\Delta_1(T+h)^2 - \Delta_1(T)^2\right| \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{(\,\xi^2 + d\,)^{3/2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + d}}{2\tau_0}\, d\xi \\
\le\ & \left|\Delta_1(T+h)^2 - \Delta_1(T)^2\right| \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{d\xi}{2\tau_0\,(\,\xi^2 + d\,)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, d satisfies $\Delta_1(T+h)^2 < d < \Delta_1(T)^2$ or $\Delta_1(T+h)^2 > d > \Delta_1(T)^2$. Since $d \geq \Delta_1(\tau)^2$, it follows that

$$|F(T+h) - F(T)| \leq \left|\Delta_1(T+h)^2 - \Delta_1(T)^2\right| \frac{1}{2\tau_0 \Delta_1(\tau)} \arctan \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\Delta_1(\tau)}.$$

Continuity of the function Δ_1 proves the lemma. □

Let $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ and fix τ. In view of Lemma 2.1, we set

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \max_{0 \leq T \leq \tau} \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}{2\tau_0} \, d\xi, \\ b &= \frac{32\tau^2}{\Delta_1(\tau)^2} \arctan \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\Delta_1(\tau)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Then, for $T \in [0, \tau]$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= U_1 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}{2T} \, d\xi \\ &> U_1 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}{2\tau_0} \, d\xi \end{aligned}$$

by (1.3). Lemma 2.1 implies $1 > U_1 a$, where a is that in (2.1). We choose $U_2\,(> U_1)$ such that $1 > U_2 a$ holds true. Set

$$\gamma = \frac{U_2 b}{1 - U_2 a} \quad (> 0). \tag{2.2}$$

A straightforward calculation gives the following.

Lemma 2.2. *The subset W is bounded, closed, convex and nonempty.*

Lemma 2.3. *If $u \in W$, then $\Delta_1(T) \leq Au(T, x) \leq \Delta_2(T)$ at all $(T, x) \in [0, \tau] \times [0, \hbar\omega_D]$.*

Proof. Since $u(T, x) \leq \Delta_2(T)$, it follows that

$$\frac{u(T, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T, \xi)^2}} \leq \frac{\Delta_2(T)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}.$$

Therefore (1.4) gives

$$Au(T, x) \leq U_2 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{\Delta_2(T)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}{2T} \, d\xi = \Delta_2(T).$$

Similarly we can show the rest. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $T \in [0, \tau_0]$ and let $X \in [\Delta_1(\tau_0)^2, \infty)$. Define a function G by*

$$G(T, X, \xi) = \xi^2 \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + X}}{2T} + \frac{4XT}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + X}}, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \hbar\omega_D \,.$$

Then G is a monotone increasing function with respect to $T \in [0, \tau_0]$. Consequently, $G(T, X, \xi) \leq G(\tau_0, X, \xi)$.

Proof. A straightforward calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\xi^2+X}} \left(\sqrt{8X} + \frac{\xi\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{T\cosh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2T}} \right) \left(\sqrt{8X} - \frac{\xi\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{T\cosh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2T}} \right).$$

Since $\dfrac{z}{\cosh z} \le \dfrac{2}{z}$ $(z \ge 0)$, it follows from (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{8X} - \frac{\xi\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{T\cosh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2T}} &\ge \sqrt{8X} - \frac{8\xi T}{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{8X}\sqrt{\xi^2+X} - 8\xi T}{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}} \\
&\ge \frac{\sqrt{8}\,\Delta_1(\tau_0)\xi - 8\xi\tau_0}{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}} \\
&= \frac{2\sqrt{8}\xi\tau_0}{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}} \left(z_0 - \sqrt{2} \right) \\
&\ge 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sqrt{X} \ge \Delta_1(\tau_0)$ and that z_0 is nearly equal to 2.07. The result thus follows. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ and fix τ. For $T,\, T' \in [0,\, \tau]$, let $T < T'$. If $u \in W$, then*

$$0 \le Au(T,\, x) - Au(T',\, x) \le \gamma \left(T' - T \right), \qquad x \in [0,\, \hbar\omega_D].$$

Proof. Step 1. We first show $Au(T,\, x) - Au(T',\, x) \ge 0$.

$$Au(T,\, x) - Au(T',\, x) = \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x,\, \xi)\,(K_1 + K_2)\; d\xi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= \frac{u(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}} \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} - \frac{u(T',\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T',\, \xi)^2}} \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T',\, \xi)^2}}{2T}, \\
K_2 &= \frac{u(T',\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T',\, \xi)^2}} \left\{ \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T',\, \xi)^2}}{2T} - \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T',\, \xi)^2}}{2T'} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u(T,\, \xi) \ge u(T',\, \xi)$, it follows that

$$\frac{u(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}} \ge \frac{u(T',\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T',\, \xi)^2}}.$$

Hence $K_1 \ge 0$. Clearly, $K_2 \ge 0$. Thus $Au(T,\, x) - Au(T',\, x) \ge 0$.

Step 2. We next show $Au(T,\, x) - Au(T',\, x) \le \gamma\,(T' - T)$. Since $\dfrac{z}{\cosh^2 z} \le \dfrac{2}{z}$ $(z \ge 0)$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= \frac{1}{\left(\xi^2 + c^2\right)^{3/2}} \left\{ \xi^2 \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2T} + \frac{c^2\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2T\,\cosh^2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2T}} \right\} \left\{ u(T,\, \xi) - u(T',\, \xi) \right\} \\
&\le \frac{1}{\left(\xi^2 + c^2\right)^{3/2}}\, G(T,\, c^2,\, \xi)\,\gamma(T' - T) \\
&\le \frac{1}{\left(\xi^2 + c^2\right)^{3/2}}\, G(\tau_0,\, c^2,\, \xi)\,\gamma(T' - T),
\end{aligned}$$

where c satisfies $u(T,\,\xi) > c > u(T',\,\xi)$ and depends on T, T', ξ and u. Note that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2\tau_0} \geq \frac{\sqrt{c^2}}{2\tau_0} > \frac{\Delta_1(\tau_0)}{2\tau_0} = z_0\,.$$

The substitution $z = \dfrac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2\tau_0}$ therefore turns $\dfrac{2}{z} \leq \tanh z \;\; (z \geq z_0)$ into

$$\frac{4\tau_0}{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}} \leq \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2\tau_0}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2\tau_0}\,\gamma(T'-T) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+\Delta_1(T')^2}}\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+\Delta_1(T')^2}}{2\tau_0}\,\gamma(T'-T). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dfrac{z}{\cosh z} \leq \dfrac{2}{z} \;\; (z \geq 0)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \frac{2u(T',\,\xi)(T'-T)}{\xi^2+u(T',\,\xi)^2}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T',\,\xi)^2}}{2T''}\,\frac{1}{\cosh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T',\,\xi)^2}}{2T''}}\right\}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2u(T',\,\xi)(T'-T)}{\xi^2+u(T',\,\xi)^2}\,\frac{16(T'')^2}{\xi^2+u(T',\,\xi)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(T'-T)\,32\tau^2}{\Delta_1(\tau)}\,\frac{1}{\xi^2+\Delta_1(\tau)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $T < T'' < T'$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & Au(T,\,x) - Au(T',\,x) \\ \leq\;& (T'-T)\,U_2\int_0^{\hbar\omega_D}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\xi^2+\Delta_1(T')^2}}\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+\Delta_1(T')^2}}{2\tau_0} + \frac{32\tau^2}{\Delta_1(\tau)}\,\frac{1}{\xi^2+\Delta_1(\tau)^2}\right)d\xi \\ \leq\;& (T'-T)\,U_2\,(\gamma\,a+b) \\ =\;& \gamma\,(T'-T). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *If $u \in W$, then $Au \in C([0,\,\tau]\times[0,\,\hbar\omega_D])$.*

Proof. Let $T < T'$. Then

$$\left|Au(T,\,x) - Au(T',\,x')\right| \leq \left|Au(T,\,x) - Au(T',\,x)\right| + \left|Au(T',\,x) - Au(T',\,x')\right|. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $U(\cdot,\,\cdot)$ is uniformly continuous, for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|x-x'| < \delta_1$ implies

$$\left|U(x,\,\xi) - U(x',\,\xi)\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\hbar\omega_D}.$$

Note that the $\delta_1 > 0$ depends neither on x, nor on x', nor on ξ, nor on $u \in W$. Hence the second term on the right of (2.3) becomes

$$\bigl|Au(T',\,x) - Au(T',\,x')\bigr| \le \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \bigl|U(x,\,\xi) - U(x',\,\xi)\bigr|\; d\xi < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

On the other hand, the first term on the right of (2.3) becomes

$$\bigl|Au(T,\,x) - Au(T',\,x)\bigr| \le \gamma(T' - T) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

by the preceding lemma. Here, $T' - T < \varepsilon/(2\gamma)$. Thus

$$\bigl|Au(T,\,x) - Au(T',\,x')\bigr| < \varepsilon, \quad (T' - T) + \bigl|x - x'\bigr| < \delta = \min\left(\delta_1,\, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\gamma}\right).$$

Note that the $\delta > 0$ depends neither on x, nor on x', nor on ξ, nor on $u \in W$, nor on T, nor on T'. □

The preceding three lemmas imply the following.

Lemma 2.7. *$AW \subset W$.*

Lemma 2.8. *The set AW is relatively compact.*

Proof. Let $u \in W$. Lemma 2.3 then implies

$$Au(T,\,x) \le \Delta_2(0) = \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\sinh\frac{1}{U_2}}.$$

So the set AW is uniformly bounded. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 2.6, the δ does not depend on $u \in W$. Hence the set AW is equicontinuous. The result thus follows from the Ascoli–Arzelà theorem. □

Lemma 2.9. *The operator $A : W \to W$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $u,\, v \in W$. Then combining an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 2.5 with (1.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Au(T,\,x) - Av(T,\,x)| \\
\le\;& U_2 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{(\xi^2 + d^2)^{3/2}} \left\{ \xi^2 \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + d^2}}{2T} + \frac{d^2\sqrt{\xi^2 + d^2}}{2T\,\cosh^2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+d^2}}{2T}} \right\} |u(T,\,\xi) - v(T,\,\xi)|\; d\xi \\
\le\;& U_2 \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + d^2}} \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + d^2}}{2T}\; d\xi\; \|u - v\| \\
\le\;& \frac{U_2}{U_1} \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U_1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}} \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}{2T}\; d\xi\; \|u - v\| \\
=\;& \frac{U_2}{U_1}\; \|u - v\|\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, d satisfies $u(T,\,\xi) < d < v(T,\,\xi)$ or $u(T,\,\xi) > d > v(T,\,\xi)$, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of the Banach space $C([0,\,\tau] \times [0,\,\hbar\omega_D])$. The result thus follows. □

Lemmas 2.2, 2.8 and 2.9 imply the following.

Lemma 2.10. *The operator $A : W \to W$ is compact.*

The uniqueness of the nonzero fixed point of $A : W \to W$ was pointed out in Theorem 1.4. The Schauder fixed-point theorem thus proves Theorem 1.9.

Acknowledgments

S. Watanabe is supported in part by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 24540112.

References

[1] V. Bach, E. H. Lieb and J. P. Solovej, *Generalized Hartree-Fock theory and the Hubbard model*, J. Stat. Phys. **76** (1994), 3–89.

[2] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper and J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. **108** (1957), 1175–1204.

[3] P. Billard and G. Fano, *An existence proof for the gap equation in the superconductivity theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **10** (1968), 274–279.

[4] N. N. Bogoliubov, *A new method in the theory of superconductivity I*, Soviet Phys. JETP **34** (1958), 41–46.

[5] R. L. Frank, C. Hainzl, S. Naboko and R. Seiringer, *The critical temperature for the BCS equation at weak coupling*, J. Geom. Anal. **17** (2007), 559–568.

[6] C. Hainzl, E. Hamza, R. Seiringer and J. P. Solovej, *The BCS functional for general pair interactions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **281** (2008), 349–367.

[7] C. Hainzl and R. Seiringer, *Critical temperature and energy gap for the BCS equation*, Phys. Rev. **B 77** (2008), 184517.

[8] A. L. Kuzemsky, *Bogoliubov's vision: quasiaverages and broken symmetry to quantum protectorate and emergence*, Internat. J. Mod. Phys. B, **24** (2010), 835–935.

[9] A. L. Kuzemsky, *Variational principle of Bogoliubov and generalized mean fields in many-particle interacting systems*, Internat. J. Mod. Phys. B, **29** (2015), 1530010 (63 pages).

[10] M. Niwa, *Fundamentals of Superconductivity*, Tokyo Denki University Press, Tokyo, 2002 (in Japanese).

[11] F. Odeh, *An existence theorem for the BCS integral equation*, IBM J. Res. Develop. **8** (1964), 187–188.

[12] A. Vansevenant, *The gap equation in the superconductivity theory*, Physica **17D** (1985), 339–344.

[13] S. Watanabe, *The solution to the BCS gap equation and the second-order phase transition in superconductivity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **383** (2011), 353–364.

[14] S. Watanabe, *Addendum to 'The solution to the BCS gap equation and the second-order phase transition in superconductivity'*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **405** (2013), 742–745.

[15] S. Watanabe, *An operator-theoretical treatment of the Maskawa-Nakajima equation in the massless abelian gluon model*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **418** (2014), 874–883.

[16] J. M. Ziman, *Principles of the Theory of Solids*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1972.